

§ 3.3 Continuous Random Variables

Many of the quantities one wants to measure vary continuously e.g. the time of day, the height of a randomly chosen URI student. Hence we need continuous random variables which can take on a whole range (interval) of values (a formal defn will be given later).

Ex 3.8 Accidents on a 200km long stretch of freeway.

Assume accidents are equally likely at all places on the freeway.

Suppose there is an accident.

The prob. the accident occurred in the first 100km of freeway is $\frac{1}{2}$.

The prob. the accident occurred between 100km and 150 km is $\frac{1}{4}$.

Our sample space (S) of course, the Interval $[0, 200]$ and we will say the prob. the accident occurred in a stretch of freeway d km in length is $\frac{d}{200}$.

Q. Does this lead to a consistent way to assign probability which satisfies the 3 postulates of probability?

A. Yes, but technical (measure theory).

We can check some things, however.

For P2, $P(S) = P([0, 200]) = \frac{200}{200} = 1$.

For P3, if I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint intervals of length d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots , we can define

$$P\left(\bigcup_i I_i\right) = \sum_i \frac{d_i}{200}$$

and this will work.

Finally P1 is obvious for intervals and by our extension to countable collections of pairwise disjoint intervals.

Note: The prob. that the accident occurred on a very short stretch of freeway is very low.

e.g. $P([100, 100.1]) = 0.0005$ (= 0.05%)

and if we take smaller and smaller intervals, we see that $P(\{100\}) = 0$ and indeed $P(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $0 \leq x \leq 200$.

THIS IS NOT A CONTRADICTION!

There are 'a lot' of points in $[0, 200]$ (i.e. uncountably many).